

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: L.A. DICKENS et al.)	Examiner: Ernest Unelus
)	
Serial No.: 10/812,326)	Art Unit: 2181
)	
Filed: March 29, 2004)	
)	
For: METHOD, SYSTEM, AND PROGRAM FOR BUILDING A QUEUE TO TEST A DEVICE)	

Sir:

Transmitted herewith in the above-identified application is an:

X Amendment- 13 pages.
X No additional fee is required.

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	Remaining After Amendment		Previously Paid For				Additional Fee
Total Claims	29	Minus	31	=	0	X50	= \$0
Independent Claims	3	Minus	3	=	0	X200	= \$0
First Presentation of Multiple Dependant Claim				=		+360	= \$0
						Total	= \$0

____ Please charge Deposit Account No. 09-0449 the amount of \$____ to cover the extension fee and also the amount of \$____ to cover the claim fee.

X The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or any future or concurrent communication or reply, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 09-0449.

X Any filing fees under 37 CFR 1.16 for the presentation of extra claims.

X Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17, including all required extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

 /David Victor/
 David W. Victor
 Registration No. 39,867
 KONRAD RAYNES & VICTOR, LLP
 315 S. Beverly Drive, Suite 210
 Beverly Hills, CA 90212
 (310) 556-7983 (voice)

Dated: August 15, 2007

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted through the USPTO EFS-Web system over the Internet to Ernest Unelus on August 15, 2007.

 /David Victor/
 David W. Victor

 8/15/07
 Date

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s):	L.A. DICKENS et al.	Examiner	Ernest Unelus
Serial No.	10/812,326	Group Art Unit	2187
Filed	March 29, 2004	Docket No.	TUC920030125US1
TITLE	METHOD, SYSTEM, AND PROGRAM FOR BUILDING A QUEUE TO TEST A DEVICE		

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via the EFS-Web system over the Internet to Ernest Unelus of the United States Patent and Trademark Office on August 15, 2007.

/David Victor/

David W. Victor

RESPONSE TO AFTER FINAL OFFICE ACTION

This paper is submitted in response to a third final office action in the above case dated June 11, 2007 ("FOA3") in which the Examiner rejected all the claims as anticipated (35 U.S.C. §102) or obvious (35 U.S.C. §103) over cited art. During a phone interview held May 16th, Applicants submitted arguments explaining the patentability of the claims over the cited art, which are presented herein. No agreement was reached during the phone interview. The Applicants submit that all pending claims 1-6, 8-17, and 19-31 are patentable over the cited art and in condition for allowance for the reasons discussed herein.

A listing of previously presented claims begins on page 2.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 8.